UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,546	08/16/2006	08/16/2006 Katsuya Teshima		8467
26530 LADAS & PAR	7590 10/14/2008 RRY LLP		EXAMINER	
	ICHIGAN AVENUE	KUNEMUND, ROBERT M		
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL	60604		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/589,	10/589,546 TESHIMA, KAT		UYA	
		Examine	ər	Art Unit		
		Robert N	Л. Kunemund	1792		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on t	he cover sheet witl	h the correspondence ac	ddress	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Mansions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months at ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e unication. tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNIC, event, however, may a repwill expire SIX (6) MONT pplication to become ABA	ATION. Oly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status						
•	Responsive to communication(s) file. This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition to closed in accordance with the practice.	b)☐ This action is for allowance excep	ot for formal matte	•	e merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicat i	Claim(s) <u>16-35</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) <u>25-35</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>16-20,23 and 24</u> is/are rejected to. Claim(s) <u>21, 22</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction Papers The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	re withdrawn from content of the con	requirement.	v the Evaminer		
_	Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	ction to the drawing(s) the correction is requ	be held in abeyand lired if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 C		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948)	Paper No(s)	nmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application -		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 16 s rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jp 10-007450 in view of Jp 40-26525.

The Jp 10-007450 reference teaches a jewel which is formed on a platinum layer. The jewel is placed onto the layer and secured by conventional techniques, note translated abs. The sole difference between the instant claim and the prior art is the specific jewel. However, the Jp 40-26525 reference teaches a jewelry grade colored corundum, note translation. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

to modify the Jp 10-007450 reference by the teachings of the Jp 40-26525 reference to use corundum as the jewel as the reference is not limited in scope to the set gems.

Claims 17 to 20, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jp 10-007450 in view of Jp 40-26525.

The references are relied on for the same reasons as stated, supra, and differ from the instant claims in the orientation and shape. However, in the absence of unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine through routine experimentation the optimum, operable shape and orientation of the gem in the combined references in order to create the desired properties.

Claims 21 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Applicants' Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 26, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' argument concerning the references is noted. However, the prior art does still render the instant invention obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The prior art does teach a corundum crystal formed on platinum. The process of forming is

Art Unit: 1792

different between the instant claims. However, there is no difference seen between the products. Both teach a process of forming a crystal on a platinum layer.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Kunemund whose telephone number is 571-272-1464. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Kornakov can be reached on 571-272-1303. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,546 Page 5

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert M Kunemund Primary Examiner Art Unit 1792

RMK

/Robert M Kunemund/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792